TITLE: The Effect of Hyperbaric Oxygen on Symptoms Following Mild Traumatic Brain Injury

RUNNING TITLE: Effect of Hyperbaric Oxygen on Mild Traumatic Brain Injury

AUTHORS: George Wolf, MD¹

Associate Faculty, United States Air Force School of Aerospace Medicine, Hyperbaric Medicine Department, Wilford Hall Ambulatory Surgical Center 2200 Bergquist Drive Suite 1 San Antonio, TX 78236 Office: 210-536-3281 FAX: 937-656-1265 Email: earl.wolf.ctr@us.af.mil

David Cifu, MD^{2, 3, 4}

National Director, PM&R Program Office, Department of Veterans Affairs Chairman and Professor, Department of PM&R, Virginia Commonwealth University, 1223 East Marshall Street, Richmond, Virginia 23298 Office: 804-828-4231 FAX: 804-828-6755 Email: dcifu@vcu.edu

Laura Baugh, MD^{1, 5} Traumatic Brain Injury Program Manager, Air Force Medical Support Agency, Healthcare Operations Assistant Professor, Uniformed Services University of the Health Sciences Department of Neurology, Room A1036, 4301 Jones Bridge Road, Bethesda, MD 20814 Telephone: 301-295-3643 Fax: 301-295-0620 Email: laura.baugh@pentagon.af.mil

William Carne, PhD^{3, 4, 6}

Assistant Professor, Department of PM&R, Virginia Commonwealth University 1223 East Marshall Street, Richmond, Virginia 23298 Office: 804-828-4231 FAX: 804-828-6755wilford hall Email: lasile@aol.com

Leonardo Profenna, MD¹

Associate Faculty, United States Air Force School of Aerospace Medicine, Hyperbaric Medicine Department, Wilford Hall Ambulatory Surgical Center 2200 Bergquist Drive Suite 1, San Antonio, TX 78236 Office: 210-536-3281 FAX: 937-656-1265 Email:Leonardo.profenna@us.af.mil

 ¹USAF School of Aerospace Medicine, Hyperbaric Medicine Department, Wilford Hall Ambulatory Surgical Center, Lackland AFB, TX
²PM&R Program Office, Department of Veterans Affairs, Washington DC
³Department of PM&R, Virginia Commonwealth University, Richmond, VA
⁴Hunter Holmes McGuire Veterans Affairs Medical Center, Richmond, VA
⁵Uniformed Services University of the Health Sciences, Bethesda, MD
⁶Richmond Defense and Veterans Brain Injury Center, Richmond, VA

CORRESPONDENCE TO: David Cifu, MD

Department of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation 1223 East Marshall Street Richmond, VA 23298-0677 Office :804-828-4231 FAX: 804-828-6755 Email: dcifu@vcu.edu

Funding was provided by the Air Force Medical Support Agency Medical Modernization Directorate and the 711th Human Performance Wing for the primary study, the U.S. Navy Bureau of Medicine and Surgery for contract funding temporary duty requirements, and the U.S. Army Medical Materiel Development Activity for end of study contract funding.

Dr. Cifu's efforts were supported, in part, through a Defense Advanced Research Projects Agency grant (N66001-09-2-206) and a contract from the U.S. Army Medical Materiel Development Activity. Dr. Carne's efforts were supported, in part, through contracts from the U.S. Army Medical Materiel Development Activity and the Defense and Veterans Brain Injury Center. The funding source had no role in the study design, analysis, interpretation of the data, the writing of the paper, or the decision to submit the paper for publication.

Disclaimer: The views expressed herein do not necessarily represent the views of the Department of Veterans Affairs, Department of Defense, or the U.S. Government.

Abstract

In this single-center, double-blind, randomized, sham-controlled, prospective trial at the U.S. Air Force School of Aerospace Medicine, the effects of 2.4 atmospheres absolute (ATA) hyperbaric oxygen (HBO₂) on post-concussion symptoms in 50 military service members with at least 1 combat-related, mild traumatic brain injury were examined. Each subject received 30 sessions of either a sham compression (room air at 1.3 ATA) or HBO₂ treatments at 2.4 ATA over an 8week period. Individual and total symptoms scores on Immediate Post-Concussion Assessment and Cognitive Testing (ImPACT®) and composite scores on Post-Traumatic Disorder Check List-Military Version (PCL-M) were measured just prior to intervention and 6 weeks after completion of intervention. Difference testing of post-intervention means between the shamcontrol and HBO₂ group revealed no significant differences on the PCL-M composite score (t= -.205, p=.84) or on the ImPACT total score (t= -.943, p=.35), demonstrating no significant effect for HBO₂ at 2.4 ATA. PCL-M composite scores and ImPACT total scores for sham-control and HBO₂ groups revealed significant improvement over the course of the study for both the shamcontrol group (t=3.76, p=.001) and the HBO₂ group (t=3.90, p=.001), demonstrating no significant HBO₂ effect. Paired t-test results revealed 10 ImPACT scale scores in the shamcontrol group improved from pre- to post-testing, whereas 2 scale scores significantly improved in the HBO₂ group. One PCL-M measure improved from pre- to post-testing in both groups. This study showed that HBO_2 at 2.4 ATA pressure had no effect on post-concussive symptoms after mild TBI.

Key words: hyperbaric oxygen, traumatic brain injury, post-concussive syndrome

3

INTRODUCTION

Since 2000, the Department of Defense (DoD) has documented more than 230,000 cases of traumatic brain injury (TBI), nearly 80% of which are classified as mild (DVBIC 2011). Conflicts in the Middle East have contributed to a rise in the incidence of TBI among deployed service members (SMs) with a 117% increase in the average incident diagnoses in the past 6 years of conflict compared to the first 6 years. Explosive blasts (e.g., improvised explosive devices) cause the majority of combat-related mild TBI (mTBI). Most of the injuries affecting active duty SMs involve both the primary effects of the blast wave plus the resultant blunt trauma caused by the physical displacement of the injured persons or objects in their environment, known as tertiary blast injury (Warden 2006). Importantly, many SMs also have had repetitive blast exposures, often with associated head trauma and resultant brain injury with associated physical, cognitive, and behavioral symptoms (Cifu 2011).

Following mTBI, the persistence for more than 3 months of injury-related symptoms is known as post-concussion syndrome (PCS) (APA 2000). While the incidence of PCS in civilians is typically 15% at 3 months and 3%-5% at 1 year, preliminary findings indicate it is considerably higher in SMs and veterans from the current Middle East conflicts (Taylor et al. 2012). While the causes of this increased incidence are probably multifactorial and remain under investigation, the challenges of treatment for this significant percentage of the military and veteran populations have resulted in a number of proposed interventions varying from comprehensive algorithmic guidelines to single-modality treatments (Management 2009). One potential therapy that has gained advocacy is hyperbaric oxygen. Hyperbaric oxygen (HBO₂) administration involves breathing high levels of oxygen, usually 100%, at an increased pressure Journal of Neurotrauma The Effect of Hyperbaric Oxygen on Symptoms Following Mild Traumatic Brain Injury (doi: 10.1089/neu.2012.2549) This article has been peer-reviewed and accepted for publication, but has yet to undergo copyediting and proof correction. The final published version may differ from this proof.

at least 1.4 times greater than the atmospheric absolute pressure at sea level (1 atmosphere absolute or ATA, equivalent to 760 mmHg partial pressure of oxygen) (Gesell 2008). Unlike oral or intravenous medications measured in milligrams, individual dosages of hyperbaric oxygen are measured in partial pressures of oxygen multiplied by time for each treatment. Unless otherwise specified, 100% oxygen is assumed as the breathing gas. The intent of HBO_2 therapy is to increase the oxygenation of the blood and tissues of the patient to supraphysiologic levels. The partial pressure of oxygen will increase proportionally with an increase in the hyperbaric chamber compression pressure. The arterial oxygen tension in patients receiving this therapy may exceed 2,000 mmHg, and oxygen tension in the tissues may reach 400 mmHg compared to the normal levels of 40 to 95 mmHg, respectively, at sea level pressure breathing air (Gesell 2008). The use of HBO₂ in treating TBI is based on the still unproven theory that functionally retrievable neurons, adjacent to severely damaged or dead neurons, exposed to hyperbaric oxygen may return to normal function or near normal function by reactivating metabolic or electrical pathways. Other possible mechanisms of benefit to TBI patients include stem cell mobilization to sites of injury, immune modulation, and impact on fundamental neurotransmitters such as nitric oxide. Anecdotal reports suggest the benefits of treatment may diminish post-injury, as the interval from injury to HBO₂ increases, but the optimal "therapeutic" window is unknown as is the optimal oxygen dose. Harch (Harch et al. 2012) reports treating PCS patients at 1.5 ATA for 60 minutes. A typical HBO₂ clinical treatment uses oxygen at 2.0 to 3.0 ATA for durations of 90 to 120 minutes. The dose, the total number of treatments per patient, and the time elapsed between treatments vary widely depending on the clinical indication. (Gesell 2008) Some advocate the use of lower treatment pressures for traumatic brain injury (Harch 2002; Harch et al. 2012; Rockswold et al. 2010).

Serious side effects are rare, and individuals with TBI have been successfully studied using HBO₂ safely (Wolf et al. 2012, in press). Potential adverse effects include barotrauma to the middle ear or sinuses, temporary myopia, claustrophobia, and oxygen toxicity seizure (incidence of 0.03%). Pulmonary barotrauma is extremely rare and generally has been reported only in patients with severe underlying lung disease (Gesell 2008).

This investigation examined the effects of HBO₂ on a population of active duty SMs with PCS resulting from combat-related mTBI in a randomized, blinded, sham-controlled trial and is the first such study in patients with PCS. This study is part of a comprehensive DoD and Department of Veterans Affairs (VA) collaborative initiative involving three ongoing independent, randomized, blinded trial efforts utilized to develop hypotheses for a definitive Phase III clinical trial (Weaver et al. 2012, in press). These trials utilize varying HBO₂ doses and clinically relevant, consistent measures of outcome addressing physical, cognitive, and behavioral functioning. Given the large numbers of individuals experiencing these injuries and the paucity of proven treatments, the current study and its companion studies take on added clinical significance and military relevance.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

As part of the DoD-VA collaborative research program, the Air Force Medical Support Agency Medical Modernization Directorate and the 711th Human Performance Wing sponsored this single center, single-blind, randomized, controlled trial of HBO_2 exposure on symptomatic mTBI that commenced in 2009. Although designed as a single-blind study, it was successfully administered as a double-blind study with both the separate teams administering the hyperbaric chamber interventions and the outcome measures blinded to the intervention group (hyperbaric oxygen or sham-control. The logistics of the challenges of double blinding hyperbaric chamber interventions are described elsewhere. (Wolf et al. 2012, in press). The study received appropriate institutional review board and governmental approvals prior to initiation of research activities. Fifty active duty military SMs with post-concussion syndrome were recruited from United States military bases. The appropriate sample size estimates were calculated for the cognitive test outcomes, which required 20 subjects in each group (Rho = 0.84; Power .80; Effect Size = .66). Inclusion criteria were neurologist-confirmed TBI diagnosis based on the DoD definition of TBI (Health Affairs 2007), cognitive disruption (as demonstrated by diagnosis and therapies), injury occurrence more recent than October 2001, psychiatric status (if any) stable for 2 months, stable psychotropic medication history for at least 1 month, and ability to use computerized testing. The diagnosis of TBI was confirmed by the study neurologist's history, physical examination and a review of all the acute medical records, including battlefield information (when available), from the time of the traumatic event to the present, using the DoD definition of TBI. The only exclusion criteria were the presence of a disorder that contraindicated hyperbaric exposure or previous exposure to HBO_2 . Forty-three (86%) were Marines from

Camp Lejeune Marine Base (NC), Quantico Marine Base (VA), and Twentynine Palms Marine Base (CA). The remaining participants were U.S. Army or U.S. Air Force from other bases. Most participants received temporary duty orders to relocate to Ft. Sam Houston, TX, for 2 months to receive the investigative chamber sessions at the U.S. Air Force School of Aerospace Medicine (Brooks City-Base, TX). Demographic information; clinical parameters; and baseline physical, cognitive, and behavioral functioning measures were obtained ("Pre-exposure" assessment; see Figures 1 and 2). Participants were randomly assigned to either a sham-control or HBO₂ exposure group. Randomization to the exposure groups was done using a computergenerated number assignment (randomizer.org©). The sham-control simulated a placebo exposure.

The intervention dosing used in this study was chosen based on a clinical HBO₂ dose recommended as appropriate for most conditions endorsed by the Undersea and Hyperbaric Medical Society (Gesell 2008). The intervention group breathed 100% oxygen at 2.4 ATA for 90 minutes (Gesell 2008), and the sham-control group breathed air (21% O₂) for 90 minutes at 1.3 ATA (about the pressure at 11 feet of sea water) with a slow drift to 1.2 ATA. Exposures were conducted in a multiplace chamber with the breathing medium delivered using an oxygen treatment hood (Amron International Inc., Vista, CA) once the exposure pressure was reached to ensure a consistent dose. Blinding techniques using hyperbaric chambers are difficult and require diligence to accomplish. This study used previously published techniques as a basis (van Ophoven et al. 2006, Clarke 2009, Wolf et al. 2012, in press). The exposures had a 7-minute compression time followed by 90 minutes of oxygen or air inhalation broken into three 30-minute periods. Intermittent 10-minute air breaks were given by removing the hood. Air

10.1089/neu.2012.2549) The final published version may differ from this proof.

Journal of Neurotrauma Symptoms Following Mild Traumatic Brain Injury (doi: 1) out has yet to undergo copyediting and proof correction. Th

This article has been peer-reviewed and accepted for publication, but he

2008; NAVSEA 2008). Exposures were done on weekdays only. Participants completed five exposures followed by 1 day given to complete other aspects of the study. The cycle was repeated for a total of 30 exposures over an 8-week period.

In addition to the baseline measures, subsequent outcome measurements were obtained after 5, 10, 15, 20, 25, and 30 chamber exposures and 6 weeks after completion of the exposures ("Exposure Intervals 1-6" and "Post-exposure Week 6" assessments, respectively; see Figures 1 and 2). While an array of outcome batteries was utilized for all participants, to derive clinically relevant information, this initial paper focused solely on the symptomatic effects of the chamber exposures measured by the self-report symptom scales included in Immediate Post-Concussion Assessment and Cognitive Testing (ImPACT[®]) (ImPACT 2012; Lovell et al. 2006) and by the Post-Traumatic Disorder Check List-Military Version (PCL-M) (Blanchard et al. 1996; Bliese et al. 2008; Veterans Affairs 2007). The PCL is a 17-item self-report measure of symptoms suggestive of post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD) and is often used as an aid in diagnosing PTSD. The PCL-M is the military version of the test and is used when assessing SMs and veterans for stressful military experiences. ImPACT is a widely used, 20-minute computerized symptom and cognition assessment that generates a number of scale and composite scores related to concussion sequelae. Symptoms are reported on a Likert scale based on experiences within the past 24 hours.

Further description of the methods and safety/tolerability assessment is delineated elsewhere (Wolf et al. 2012, in press).

9

RESULTS

One hundred and three SMs with PCS from presumed TBI volunteered for study participation. Fifty of 103 candidates screened met the study criteria and were consented (49%). There were 22 candidates (21%) who did not qualify for the study, primarily due to the inability to confirm the diagnosis of TBI. Eight candidates (8%) agreed to participate but were deferred, usually due to medication changes or schedule conflicts, and the study closed out before they could be enrolled. Twenty-three candidates (22%) were eligible but later requested not to participate, primarily due to schedule conflicts or personal reasons. There was one withdrawal from each group for administrative reasons related to obtaining the needed time off for the protocol (see Figure 3).

The fifty volunteers who were consented were randomly divided into the sham-control or HBO₂ exposure groups, 25 in each group. The two groups were examined for equality prior to treatment to assess the success of randomization, and an allocation questionnaire at the end of the study revealed a distribution that suggested the blind was maintained. All participants in the study suffered a TBI with a range of 3-71 months prior to the start of chamber sessions. Forty-eight were male. Three participants were not classified as mild TBI at the time of initial injury due to duration of loss of consciousness greater than 1 hour. Mean period of loss of consciousness for both intervention groups was <15 minutes. All had sufficiently recovered to meet inclusion criteria. Etiology of concussion included 33 from improvised explosive device blast, 8 from direct head trauma, and 9 with both events. Participants self-reported a mean of 3.4 concussions (range= 1-50) prior to the injury, making them eligible for the study, with mean prior episodes of loss of consciousness of 1.6 (range= 0-9).

The entire range of subject ages was 20 to 51 years of age, with a mean of 28.3 years and a standard deviation (SD) of 7.7 years. There were 24 males in each group. The age range was 21 to 46 years in the sham-control group, with a mean of 28.4 years and an SD of 7.4 years. The age range was 20 to 51 years old in the HBO₂ group, with a mean of 28.3 years and an SD of 8.1 years. Mean education level was slightly over 12 years. Nearly 90% were enlisted rank and the remainder was officers.

Descriptive statistics were used to calculate means and standard deviations for total ImPACT symptom inventory and PCL-M items, using SPSS 14.0 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL). No significant differences between groups were noted on the ImPACT scale scores or on the PCL-M at baseline testing, except for a greater degree of nausea at baseline in the sham-control group (t=.244, p=.009). Difference testing between the sham-control and HBO₂ groups did not reveal any significant differences on the PCL-M composite mean score (t= -.205, p=.84) or on the ImPACT total mean score (t= -.943, p=.35) at any time (Figures 1 and 2), including at 6 weeks post-exposure. Graphs of PCL-M composite scores (Figure 1) and ImPACT total scores (Figure 2) for sham-control and HBO₂ groups revealed significant downward trends over the course of the study for both the sham-control group (t=3.76, p=.001) and the HBO₂ group (t=3.90, p=.001); however, these effects diminished on the PCL-M by the last two assessment periods ("Exposure Interval 5" to "Exposure Interval 6" and "Exposure Interval 6" to "Post-exposure Week 6" assessments, respectively, in Figures 1 and Figure 2).

Individual symptom scale pre-intervention testing was compared to the 6-week postexposure testing for both the ImPACT and PCL-M and is presented in Tables 1 and 2. Paired ttest results revealed 10 ImPACT symptom scale scores in the sham-control group that differed from pre-exposure to 6-week post- exposure testing, whereas only two scale scores significantly changed in the investigational group. Two ImPACT items (sleep onset problems and sleeping

тт

less than usual) changed in both the sham-control and HBO₂ groups. No improvement in headaches, concentration, or memory was noted in the HBO₂ arm. In pre-exposure to 6-week post-exposure testing on the PCL-M, both the sham-control and HBO2 groups showed significant decrease in arousal-related symptoms but no differences on re-experiencing phenomena or avoidance behaviors. While there is overlap between the self-report symptoms measured by the PCL-M and ImPACT, there was not a statistically significant correlation found in this analysis (r=.006, n=48, p=.968). There was also not a significant association between the self-report symptoms to intervention. Additionally, there was not a significant association between the duration of symptoms or time since injury and the response to intervention.

DISCUSSION

This investigation represents the first randomized, controlled clinical trial studying clinically relevant effects of HBO₂ on PCS and is part of a comprehensive DoD-VA collaborative initiative to clarify the role of HBO₂ in mTBI care. In this study, there were significant differences within groups on both the PCL composite score and the ImPACT total score from pre-intervention and 6-week post-intervention; however, there were no significant effect differences between the sham-control condition and the investigational intervention. Our results suggest the benefits may be due to placebo, Hawthorne effect, the natural resolution of symptoms over time (Mooney et al. 2005; Ponsford et al. 2000; Ruff 2005), or possibly an effect from exposure to sham-control partial pressures of oxygen or nitrogen. In addition, the potential effects of a change in living environment (i.e., not their permanent duty base) and daily routine (i.e., nonregular duties) may contribute to the improvements in symptoms (sleep onset and duration, arousal) seen over the 14-week period.

These results reproduced the overall clinical and statistical improvements seen in case reports and series of HBO₂ and TBI, but this investigation offers significant advances over previous reports (McDonagh et al. 2003; Bennett et al. 2004; ECRI 2006; Rockswold et al. 2007; Harch et al. 2012). First, prior studies did not include randomization, blinding, or control groups, which weakened scientific rigor and potentially induced both experimenter and selection bias. The inclusion of these methods in our study leads us to conclude that benefit is not attributable to the HBO₂ intervention. One would expect a sizable placebo and/or Hawthorne effect on symptoms given the intense nature of the intervention as has been reported in depression, anxiety, and PTSD randomized sham-controlled trials (Walsh et al. 2002; Stein et al. 2006; Brunoni et al. 2009). Secondly, while Harch's work did focus on mTBI, all other studies have focused

exclusively on the effects of HBO₂ on acute moderate-severe TBI. The symptoms seen after chronic mTBI tend to differ from those following acute moderate-severe TBI and may be less amenable to the potential treatment effects of hyperbaric therapy. PCS symptoms tend to be milder, and even in this population of individuals with chronic difficulties, there were low levels of self-reported symptoms. Given the mild symptom severity, there are limited amounts of potential improvements, and a proposed "ceiling effect" occurs. This ceiling effect may be challenging to detect in small sample sizes and, based on depression literature, may be more prone to a placebo response (Walsh 2002).

There is evidence from randomized trials to support that HBO₂ may improve survival in acute severe TBI but does not affect functional outcomes (Rockswold et al. 2007; Rockswold et al. 2010). Also, based upon considerable animal experiments and randomized trials, HBO₂ improves outcomes following acute carbon monoxide poisoning (Gesell 2008). Clinical support for using HBO₂ for subacute or chronic traumatic brain injury has been primarily based on anecdotal case data, and there are no prior randomized clinical trials investigating HBO₂ for post-concussion syndrome.

This trial represents the first randomized, double-blinded, sham-controlled, prospective study of HBO_2 in the symptomatic chronic mTBI population and demonstrates no significant symptomatic improvements of HBO_2 at 2.4 ATA over sham-control. While it is possible the sham-controlled condition had a "therapeutic" effect on brain recovery, relative to the oxygen partial pressures attained during clinical hyperbaric treatments at 2.0 to 3.0 ATA, it seems very unlikely such a minimal dose of oxygen and nitrogen could influence brain function favorably.

As with any Phase II trial, this study has a number of inherent limitations. The small sample size limits the power of the study. Since most participants had combat exposure, the

Page 15 of 30

Journal of Neurotrauma The Effect of Hyperbaric Oxygen on Symptoms Following Mild Traumatic Brain Injury (doi: 10.1089/neu.2012.2549) This article has been peer-reviewed and accepted for publication, but has yet to undergo copyediting and proof correction. The final published version may differ from this proof.

possible influence of post-traumatic stress, depression, anxiety, substance abuse, and pain likely had confounding effects on HBO₂. Gathering of prior TBI history also relied on participant selfreport, which is sensitive to subjective patient interpretation, memory, social desirability and other covariates such as personality factors and willingness to reveal problems. Accounting for the confounding role of PTSD symptoms may be especially important, as our study demonstrated a significant reduction of PCL-M total scores in both the sham-control and HBO₂ groups over time. Better understanding of this influence and other possible variables, such as repetitive mTBI in post-concussion recovery, would allow for a greater refinement of treatment protocols.

This study followed the recommendations of the Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality (AHRQ) review (McDonagh et al. 2003) to design studies that would add to the dose response aspect for the potential therapeutic use of HBO₂ in treating mTBI. As such, it bracketed the pressure of 1.5 ATA seen in most anecdotal chronic mTBI case reports and series by using 1.3 ATA air and 2.4 ATA 100% oxygen. It is possible the dose of HBO₂ used was excessive (Harch et al. 2012), and thus the difference between groups in our study was less than it might have been with a different dose of HBO₂. Future studies with lower dose HBO₂ are needed to assess this and are planned as part of the DoD-VA cooperative group (Weaver et al. 2012, in press). Other proposed treatment pressures (oxygen doses), individual treatment duration, and number of treatments may or may not have similar results. In this study, identifying subgroup cohorts, if any, that did improve may also point to more specific populations that are more likely to benefit from HBO₂ therapy. As inferred in the AHRQ report (McDonagh et al. 2003), HBO₂ therapy to facilitate recovery for acute mild to moderate TBI is another area for investigation.

This study demonstrated that individuals with mTBI and PCS could be recruited and successfully tolerated the study protocol. Larger studies are planned to take into account covariates of co-morbid psychological conditions and to examine the effects of different dosing regimens. Future studies would also benefit from the addition of a waiting list or standard concussion care third arm to account for the nonspecific effects possible in sham-control treatment (such as time off from regular duties and expectational effects) and longer duration of follow-up to assess for the durability of any initial improvements.

CONCLUSIONS

Hyperbaric oxygen is a potent intervention for acute ischemic injuries that has a sound theoretical underpinning and demonstrated efficacy in dive-related injuries, soft tissue healing, and carbon monoxide poisoning. Human research trials with acute severe TBI have been inconclusive, and the current study in participants with post-concussive syndrome from chronic mTBI demonstrates no efficacy in symptom relief with HBO₂ at an exposure pressure of 2.4 ATA for 90 minutes given once daily for 30 treatments; however, both groups improved more than would be expected greater than 6 months after mTBI. Given that HBO₂, in this controlled study, demonstrates no therapeutic value, requires long treatment series, is expensive, exposes patients to potential side effects, and has limited availability, clinical usage is not warranted for the management of symptoms of chronic mTBI at this treatment pressure. It is recommended that larger, multicenter, randomized, controlled (both sham-control and wait-list), double-blinded clinical trials be conducted at lower total oxygen doses as recommended by AHRQ.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors would like to recognize and thank Ms. Sheila Galvin, Traumatic Brain Injury Program Coordinator for the Wounded Warrior Regiment USMC for her superb service in coordinating participant requirements; Ms. Karen Guenther and the Injured Marine Semper Fi Fund for transportation support for participants; Dr. Jason Cromar, USAFSAM, for coordinating the protocol; and Drs. R. Scott Miller (U.S. Army Medical Material Development Activity (USAMMDA), Ft. Detrick, MD), Lin Weaver (Hyperbaric Medicine, Intermountain LDS Hospital, Salt Lake City, UT), and Robert Michaelson (Southwest Center for Wound Care, Southwest General Hospital, San Antonio, TX) for manuscript review.

REFERENCES

- American Psychiatric Association. (2000). *Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders*, 4th ed., text rev. American Psychiatric Association: Washington, DC.
- Bennett, M.H., Trytko, B., and Jonker, B. (2004). Hyperbaric oxygen therapy for the adjunctive treatment of traumatic brain injury. Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews 4, CD004609.
- Blanchard, E.B., Jones-Alexander, J., Buckley, T.C., and Forneris, C.A. (1996). Psychometric properties of the PTSD Checklist (PCL). Behav. Res. Ther. 34, 669-673.
- Bliese, P.D., Wright, K.M., Adler, A.B., Cabrera, O., Castrol, C.A., and Hoge, C.W. (2008).Validating the primary care posttraumatic stress disorder screen and the posttraumatic stress disorder checklist with soldiers returning from combat. J. Consult. Clin. Psychol. 76, 272-281.
- Brunoni, A.R., Lopes, M., Kaptchuk, T.J., and Fregni, F. (2009). Placebo response of nonpharmacological and pharmacological trials in major depression: a systematic review and meta-analysis. PLoS One 4, e4824.
- Cifu, D.X., and Blake, C. (2011). Overcoming Post-Deployment Syndrome: A Six-Step Mission to Health. Demos Medical Publishing: New York.

- Clarke, D. (2009). Effective patient blinding during hyperbaric trials. Undersea Hyperb. Med. 36, 13-17.
- Defense and Veterans Brain Injury Center. (2011). DoD Worldwide Numbers for TBI (Non-Combat and Combat Injuries). www.dvbic.org/tbi-numbers.aspx.
- ECRI Institute. (2006). *Hyperbaric Oxygen Therapy (HBOT) for Traumatic Brain Injury (TBI)*, Short Health Care Technology Assessment (CLIN 1001). ECRI Institute: Plymouth Meeting, PA.
- Gesell, L.B. (ed). (2008). *Hyperbaric Oxygen Therapy Indications*, 12th ed. Undersea and Hyperbaric Medical Society: Durham, NC.
- Harch, P.G. (2002). The dosage of hyperbaric oxygen in chronic brain injury, in: Proceedings of the 2nd International Symposium on Hyperbaric Oxygenation for Cerebral Palsy and the Brain-Injured Child. J.T. Joiner (ed). Best Publishing Co.: Flagstaff, AZ.
- Harch, P.G., Andrews, S.R., Fogarty, E.F., Amen, D., Pezzullo, J.C., Lucarini, J., Aubrey, C., Taylor, D.V., Staab, P.K., and Van Meter, K.W. (2012). A phase I study of low-pressure hyperbaric oxygen therapy for blast-induced post-concussion syndrome and post-traumatic stress disorder. J Neurotrauma 29, 168-185.

ImPACT Applications, Inc. (2012). www.impacttest.com.

Lovell, M.R., Iverson, G.L., Collins, M.W., Podell, K., Johnston, K.M., Pardini, D., Pardini, J., Norwig, J., and Maroon, J.C. (2006). Measurement of symptoms following sports-related concussion: reliability and normative data for the post-concussion scale. Appl. Neuropsychol. 2006 13, 166-174.

Management of Concussion/mTBI Working Group. (2009). VA/DoD clinical practice guideline for the management of concussion/mild traumatic brain injury. J. Rehabil. Res. Develop. 46, CP1-CP68.

McDonagh, M., Carson, S., Ash, J., Russman, B.S., Stavri, P.Z., Krages, K.P., and Helfand, M. (2003). Hyperbaric oxygen therapy for brain injury, cerebral palsy, and stroke: summary, in: *AHRQ Evidence Report Summaries*. Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality: Rockville, MD, No. 85.

Mooney, G., Speed, J., Sheppard, S. (2005). Factors related to recovery after mild traumatic brain injury. Brain Inj. 19, 975-987.

- Naval Sea Systems Command. (2008). U.S. Navy Diving Manual, rev 6. SS521-AG-PRO-010. Department of the Navy: Washington, DC.
- Ponsford, J., Willmott, C., Rothwell, A., Cameron, P., Kelly, A.M., Nelms, R., Curran, C., and Ng, K. (2000). Factors influencing outcome following mild traumatic brain injury in adults. J. Int. Neuropsychol. Soc. 6, 568-579.

Rockswold, S.B., Rockswold, G.L., and Defillo, A. (2007). Hyperbaric oxygen in traumatic brain injury. Neurol. Res. 29, 162-172.

Rockswold, S.B., Rockswold, G.L., Zaun, D.A., Zhang, X., Cerra, C.E., Bergman, T.A., and Liu, J. (2010). A prospective, randomized clinical trial to compare the effect of hyperbaric to normobaric hyperoxia on cerebral metabolism, intracranial pressure, and oxygen toxicity in severe traumatic brain injury. J. Neurosurg. 112, 1080-1094.

- Ruff, R. (2005). Two decades of advances in understanding of mild traumatic brain injury. J. Head Trauma Rehabil. 20, 5-18.
- Stein, D.J., Baldwin, D.S., Dolberg, O.T., Despiegel, N., and Bandelow, B. (2006). Which factors predict placebo response in anxiety disorders and major depression? An analysis of placebo-controlled studies of escitalopram. J. Clin. Psychiatry 67, 1741-1746.

Taylor, B.C., Hagel, E.M., Carlson, K.F., Cifu, D.X., Cutting, A., Bidelspach, D.E., and Sayer, N.A. (2012). Prevalence and costs of co-occurring traumatic brain injury with and without psychiatric disturbance and pain among Afghanistan and Iraq War Veteran V.A. users. Med. Care 50, 342-346.

- U.S. Department of Veterans Affairs. (2007). PTSD Checklist (PCL). www.ptsd.va.gov/professional/pages/assessments/ptsd-checklist.asp.
- van Ophoven, A., Rossbach, G., Pajonk, F., and Hertle, L. (2006). Safety and efficacy of hyperbaric oxygen therapy for the treatment of interstitial cystitis: a randomized, sham controlled, double-blind trial. J. Urol. 176, 1442-1446.
- Walsh, B.T., Seidman, S.N., Sysko, R., and Gould, M. (2002). Placebo response in studies of major depression: variable, substantial, and growing. JAMA 287, 1840-1847.

Warden, D. (2006). Military TBI during the Iraq and Afghanistan wars. J. Head Trauma Rehabil. 21, 398-402.

Weaver, L.K., Cifu, D.X., Hart, B., Wolf, G., and Miller, R.S. (2012). Hyperbaric oxygen for post-concussion syndrome: design of Department of Defense clinical trials. Undersea Hyperb. Med. (in press). Wolf, E.G., Prye, J., Michaelson, R., Brower, G., Profenna, L., and Boneta, O. (2012).

Hyperbaric side effects in a traumatic brain injury randomized clinical trial. Undersea Hyperb.

Med. (in press).

	Control	Control	Р	HBO ₂	$HBO_2 6$	Р
Symptom	Baseline	6 weeks Post	value	Baseline	weeks Post	value
Headache	3.4	2.0	.002*	3.3	2.6	ns
Nausea	1.1	0.9	ns	0.2	0.4	ns
Vomiting	0.0	0.0	ns	0.0	0.0	ns
Balance	1.4	1.0	ns	1.5	1.2	ns
Dizziness	1.3	1.2	ns	0.9	1.2	ns
Fatigue	3.4	1.7	.005*	3.1	2.6	ns
Trouble falling asleep	4.2	2.2	.000*	4.2	2.7	.01*
Sleeping more than usual	0.7	0.3	.038*	0.2	0.7	ns
Sleeping less than usual	1.2	0.5	.038*	1.8	0.6	.03*
Drowsiness	3.3	1.4	ns	1.9	2.5	ns
Sensitivity to light	2.2	1.8	ns	1.9	1.8	ns
Sensitivity to noise	1.7	0.9	ns	1.5	1.7	ns
Irritability	2.3	1.3	.032*	3.0	2.7	ns
Sadness	1.3	0.5	.029*	1.3	1.5	ns
Nervousness	1.6	0.8	.046*	2.0	1.0	ns
Emotionality	0.8	0.3	.046*	1.3	0.9	ns
Numbness	2.0	0.9	ns	1.7	0.8	ns
Slower than usual	1.4	0.5	.01*	1.2	1.4	ns
Feeling foggy	1.2	1.3	ns	1.3	1.5	ns
Concentration problems	3.0	2.5	ns	2.9	2.5	ns
Trouble remembering	4.8	2.8	ns	2.8	2.8	ns
Visual problems	1.0	0.8	ns	0.8	0.8	ns

TABLE 1. IMPACT SCALE MEANS FOR SHAM-CONTROL AND HBO2 GROUPS AT
BASELINE AND POST TREATMENT INTERVAL

*p = significant

_			
Page	26	of	30

		Control				
	Control	6 weeks	Р	HBO_2	HBO_26 weeks	Р
Symptom	Baseline	Post	value	Baseline	Post	value
Composite score	48.9	40.6	.000*	50.0	41.6	.000*
Re-experiencing	2.2	2.0	ns	2.8	2.2	ns
Avoidance	3.3	2.9	ns	3.3	2.5	ns
Arousal	4.2	2.8	.000*	3.8	2.6	.001*

TABLE 2. PCL-M MEANS FOR SHAM-CONTROL AND HBO_2 GROUPS AT BASELINE AND POST TREATMENT INTERVAL

*p<.05

C	ontrol	HBO2		Control	HBO ₂	
B	aseline	Baseline	Р	6 weeks	6 weeks	Р
PCL-M Symptom			value	Post	Post	value
Composite score 43	8.9	50.0	.77	40.6	41.6	.28
Re-experiencing	2.2	2.8	.33	2.0	2.2	.71
Avoidance	3.3	3.3	.60	2.9	2.5	.08
Arousal	4.2	3.8	.68	2.8	2.6	.35
ImPACT Symptoms						
Headache	3.4	3.3	.57	2.0	2.6	.32
Nausea	1.1	0.2	.01*	0.9	0.4	.23
Vomiting	0.0	0.0	1.00	0.0	0.0	.32
Balance	1.4	1.5	.87	0.9	1.2	.57
Dizziness	1.3	0.9	.28	1.2	1.2	.94
Fatigue	3.4	3.1	.49	1.7	2.6	.12
Trouble falling asleep	4.2	4.2	.34	2.2	2.7	.43
Sleeping more than usual	0.7	0.2	.13	0.3	0.7	.33
Sleeping less than usual	1.2	1.8	.13	0.5	0.6	.77
Drowsiness	3.3	1.9	.16	1.4	2.5	.08
Sensitivity to light	2.2	1.9	.58	1.8	1.8	1.0
Sensitivity to noise	1.7	1.5	.98	0.9	1.7	.17
Irritability	2.3	3.0	.20	1.3	2.7	.04*
Sadness	1.3	1.3	.26	0.5	1.5	.05
Nervousness	1.6	2.0	.59	0.8	1.0	.60
Emotionality	0.8	1.3	.06	0.3	0.9	.17
Numbness	2.0	1.7	.84	0.9	0.8	.71
Slower than usual	1.4	1.2	.83	0.5	1.4	.07
Feeling foggy	1.2	1.3	.85	1.3	1.5	.74
Concentration problems	3.0	2.9	.94	2.5	2.5	.95
Trouble remembering	4.8	2.8	.17	2.8	2.7	1.0
Visual problems	1.0	0.8	.13	0.8	0.8	.85

*p is significant

Page 28 of 30

28



FIG. 1. PCL composite scores over time. "Pre-Exposure" to "Begin Exposure" interval and all six "Exposure Intervals" were between 7-10 days, depending on participant availability and tolerance of successive chamber exposure. Post-exposure assessment performed 6 weeks after last chamber exposure.



FIG. 2. ImPACT total scores over time. "Pre-Exposure" to "Begin Exposure" interval and all six "Exposure Intervals" were between 7-10 days, depending on participant availability and tolerance of successive chamber exposure. Post-exposure assessment performed 6 weeks after last chamber exposure.



FIG. 3. Recruitment and enrollment.